

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/836,455	CHATTERJEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(2) Jill Jacobson, Ph.D.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
6,11,16-19,38,59,62,63,65,69-81 and 89-98 and any claims withdrawn from consideration

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner telephoned Dr. Jacobson to discuss an examiner's amendment that would place this application in condition for allowance. Dr. Jacobson authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)